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#### Abstract

In this paper it is shown that the $2 \pi+4 \pi$, diethyl azodicarboxylate + tropone cycloadduct can be used to make precursors to eight-pi non-Kekulé polyenes. These are the first eight-pi non-Kekulé polyenes that have been observed spectroscopically (triplet ESR spectra) and the first non-Kekulé polyenes for which distinct spectra can be associated with particular cis/trans isomers. The enone moiety of diethyl 4-oxo-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate was elaborated into a triene by reaction with hexynyllithium, a Meyer-Schuster rearrangement using water-acetic acid-sulfuric acid, and a Peterson methylenation [(i) trimethylsilylmethylmagnesium chloride, (ii) thionyl dichloride, (iii) aq. ammonium chloride]. The carbamate groups were hydrolysed with potassium hydroxidemethanol and the resultant -NHNH- system was oxidised with mercury(I) oxide. The resultant $Z$ and $E$ isomers of 4-(2'-butylallylidene)-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]nona-2,6-diene were separated by column chromatography. UV irradiation of the $Z$ isomer in an EPA glass at 77 K gave a triplet ESR spectrum $|D / \mathrm{hc}| 0.0223,|E / h c| 0.0022 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Similar irradiation of the $E$ isomer gave $|D / h c| 0.0133$, $|E / h c| 0.0044 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. A study of the temperature dependence of the intensities of the $\Delta m=2$ transitions confirmed that both spectra are associated with biradicals with a triplet ground state.


As part of a programme of work aimed at developing synthetic routes to the non-Kekulé polyenes shown in Fig. $1^{1-3}$ we have recently shown that the $2 \pi+4 \pi$ diethyl azodicarboxylate + tropane adduct 11 can be converted into compound 12 which acts as a precursor to the vinyl-TMM (vinyltrimethylenemethane) biradical $13^{3}$. In principle, the route could be modified to make any non-Kekule polyene with 1,5 -biradical character (i.e., derivatives of any of the biradicals $4-8 \mathrm{in}$ Fig. 1). In this paper we demonstrate that this is possible and that the adduct 11 can be converted into a precursor of the biradical 14 which is a derivative of the biradical 4. It was the first eight-pi non-Kekulé polyene to be observed spectroscopically and the first for which distinct spectra have been obtained for both cis and trans isomers.*

In converting the $2 \pi+4 \pi$, diethyl azodicarboxylate + tropone cycloadduct 11 into the vinyl-TMM precursor 12 it was necessary selectively to reduce the 8,9 double bond to give the intermediate 15 and then to convert the 4 -keto group into a methylene. To make a precursor to the biradical 14, after forming the same intermediate $\mathbf{1 5}$, it is necessary to convert the keto group into an allylidene group. Reactions at this position were, however, surprisingly difficult. Methylenation could be achieved either through a Wittig reaction ( $20 \%$ yield) or by reaction with methyllithium followed by dehydration ( $50 \%$ overall yield) but an attempted Peterson methylenation led to the 1,4 -addition product 16. Attempts to generate trisubstituted olefins by Wittig, alkyllithium, or Peterson routes were even less successful. Substituted Wittig reagents gave very low yields $(<5 \%)^{5}$ whereas most organometallic reagents showed a preference for 1,4 - rather than 1,2 -addition (Table 1). Many factors can affect the balance between 1,2- and 1,4-addition, and the reactions shown in Table 1 follow a variety of mechanisms, but it is hard to escape the conclusion that the overriding factor in this system is a steric effect. Table 1 shows that only sterically undemanding nucleophiles add in a 1,2 -fashion. Models suggest that in at least some conformations attack at C-4 from the side anti to the carbamate bridge and at the Burgi-Dunitz angle ${ }^{6}$

[^0]Table 1 Ratios of 1,2- to 1,4-addition in the reactions of the enone 15

| Reagent/conditions | 1,4-Addition $(\%)$ | 1,2-Addition (\%) | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}, \mathrm{TsOH}$ | $91^{\text {a.b }}$ | $0^{a}$ | 3 |
| $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2} \mathrm{MgCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $86^{a}$ | $0^{a}$ | $f$ |
| $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{4} \mathrm{MgBr}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $47^{a}$ | (35) a.c | $f$ |
| $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $30^{\text {d }}$ | $34^{\text {d }}$ | $f$ |
| MeLi, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $7^{a}$ | $52^{a}$ | 3 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{MgBr}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $0^{a}$ | $36^{\text {a.b }}$ | $f$ |
| $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CLi}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $0^{a}$ | $80^{\text {a.e }}$ | $f$ |
| $\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CLi}, \mathrm{THF} /-78$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $0^{a}$ | 90-95 ${ }^{\text {a.e }}$ | $f$ |
| $\mathrm{NaBH} 4, \mathrm{CeCl}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH} / 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $0^{a}$ | $100^{\text {a }}$ | $f$ |

${ }^{a}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{b}$ Addition product anti to the carbamate bridge. ${ }^{c}$,, $2-$ Reduction product. ${ }^{d}$ Identified and product ratio only on the basis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of a mixed fraction. ${ }^{e} \sim 1: 1$ syn:anti. ${ }^{5}$ This work.
suffers steric hindrance from the methylene at C-8 (17) whereas attack at $\mathrm{C}-2$ is unhindered. Whether or not this is the crucial factor the practical outcome is that the only 1,2 -additions which allowed the desired elaboration of the C-4 position to occur were those of acetylenide anions; ions of high nucleophilic character but modest steric demands. The acetylenide adducts could be converted into the desired allylidene derivatives
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Fig. 1 (a) A comparison of HMO pi orbital energies for classical and non-classical polyenes. Note that non-classical (non-Kekulé) polyenes are characterised by a degenerate pair of non-bonding molecular orbitals. (b) The seven simplest classical polyenes and ten simplest non-classical polyenes. The non-classical polyene 1 is known by the trival name of trimethylenemethane (TMM), 2 as tetramethyleneethane (TME), and $\mathbf{3}$ as vinylTMM. Note that TMM 1 (for example) is isomeric with butadiene and has an equal number of pi electrons, and that vinyl-TMM 3 (for example) is isomeric with hexatriene and also has six pi electrons. Note also that whereas TMM 1 is simply a 1,3 -biradical and TME is simply a 1,4 -biradical, vinyl-TMM can be written as either a 1,3-or a 1,5 -biradical. For other non-classical polyenes the $1, n$-biradical character is indicated in brackets.
through a Meyer-Schuster rearrangement and methyleneation of the resultant dienone.
Reaction of the ketone 15 with lithium acetylide in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{7}$ gave a good yield of a $1: 1$ mixture of the alcohols 18a and 19a. These could be separated by column chromatography and were separately converted into the acetates 18b and 19b. An attempted Meyer-Schuster rearrangement using sulfuric acid in $80 \%$ acetic acid ${ }^{8}$ was unsuccessful but the method of Pelletier and Mody (silvercatalysed rearrangement of the propargylic acetates either separately or as a mixture) ${ }^{9}$ gave the aldehydes 20a and 21a in good yield. Subsequent reactions in this series, however, gave poorer yields than equivalent reactions based on the hexyne
adducts 18 c and 19c. These adducts underwent the MeyerSchuster rearrangement simply upon treatment with a catalytic amount of sulfuric acid in $80 \%$ aq. acetic acid and gave a mixture of the ketones $\mathbf{2 0 b}$ and $21 \mathrm{~b} .{ }^{8}$ When the reaction was monitored by TLC two 'intermediates' could be detected. The first of these was an acetate (probably 22b and second was the alcohol 22a. Formation of this rearranged alcohol has numerous precedents in Meyer-Schuster rearrangements of ethynyl vinyl carbinols. ${ }^{10}$ Although the mixture of ketones 20b and 21b could not be separated by chromatography, Peterson olefination [(i) trimethylsilylmethylmagnesium chloride; (ii) thionyl dichloride; (ii) aq. ammonium chloride ${ }^{11}$ gave a reasonable yield of the mixed trienes 20c and 21c. Hydrolysis,
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18 a; $R=R^{\prime}=H$ b; $R=H, R^{\prime}=A c$ c; $R=B u, R^{\prime}=H$ d; $R=B u, R^{\prime}=A c$

$21-E$
a; $R=H, X=O$
b; $R=B u, X=0$
c; $R=\mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
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Scheme 1 'Least motions' products from the photolysis of the diazenes 23 and 24
biradical 27. This is consistent with absence of a significant NOE interaction between the terminal methylene and the C-3 vinyl hydrogen of species $24 .{ }^{1}$ Whilst these results are internally consistent two problems exist. First, in these systems, the calculated zero-field splittings are strongly dependent on what is assumed to be the internal $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ - C angle in the seven-membered ring. ${ }^{3}$ All of the values in Fig. 2 were based on assumed C-C-C angles of $120^{\circ}$. A calculation based on an internal $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ angle of $130^{\circ}$ for the seven-membered ring reduces the calculated $|D / h c|$ by $\sim 0.006 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for biradical 13 and by $\sim 0.003 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for biradicals 25-28. Secondly, whereas the triplet states of these biradicals are planar and fully pi delocalised, the singlet state of biradical 14 is essentially a pentadienyl radical plus an allyl radical and will either be orthogonal about the connecting bond as shown in formula 29 or, at least, the barrier to rotation about this exocyclic carbon-carbon bond will be very low. Hence, if the pnotolyses of diazines $\mathbf{2 3}$ and $\mathbf{2 4}$ pass, as expected, through the singlet biradical 29 on the way to the triplet biradicals 25-28 or, if the triplet biradicals are in equilibrium with the singlet states, the least motions argument outlined above can only be supported on the assumption that, within a frozen glassy matrix, such rotations are suppressed. Analogous 'matrix' effects are, however, known in related systems. ${ }^{16}$

The triplet ESR spectra could, in principle, arise from either a triplet ground state or a situation in which the triplet lies just above the singlet state and is thermally populated. However, a study of the temperature dependence of the intensity of the $\Delta m=2$ transition for each biradical between 8 and 36 K showed the Curie Law dependence expected for a triplet ground state. ${ }^{1}$ Indeed, it is expected that for these systems where the


Fig. 2 A comparison of calculated and experimentally measured values of the zero-field splitting $\mid \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{hc}$ for planar (or probably planar) pi biradicals. Most of the values are based on an HMO description of the SOMOs and are taken from refs. 3 and 13 and from ref. $15(a)$ but include the corrected value for TME (ref. 15b). For biradicals 13 and $25-28$ a carbon-carbon bond length of $1.427 \AA$ was assumed, also that all carbon atoms were $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-hybridised (carbon-carbon-carbon bond angles of $120^{\circ}$ ), and finally that each p-orbital is represented by a pair of points $0.68 \AA$ above and below the plane of the molecule (ref. 14). The correlation line shown is a 'least squares' fit but is close to the suggested correlation $D_{\text {calc }}=2 D_{\text {expt }}$ (ref. 13). The numbers refer to the biradical formulae in the text.

The open circles show all of the possible assignments for the spectra and are based on the assumption of a least-motions result, i.e. precursor $23, D_{\text {expt }} 0.022 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, gives biradicals $25, D_{\text {calc }} 0.0370 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ or 26, $D_{\text {calc }} 0.0305 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and precursor $24, D_{\text {expt }} 0.0133 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, gives biradicals 27, $D_{\text {calc }} 0.0305 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ or $28, D_{\text {calc }} 0.0370 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The best fit to the correlation line is obtained if it is assumed that diazene 23 gives biradical 25 and diazene 24 gives biradical 27 but the reversed assignments ( $\mathbf{2 3}$ gives 26 , and 24 gives 28 ) are possible and within experimental error.
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a; $X=Y=H$
b; $X=H, Y=P h$
c; $X=Y=P h$


33


36
$X=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
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singly occupied molecular orbitals (SOMOs) are non -disjoint (formulae 30 and 31) that there will be a strong exchange interaction and a triplet ground state. ${ }^{17}$

## Experimental

Instrumentation and General Procedures were described in detail in the last full paper of this series. ${ }^{3}$ All reactions were carried out under a slight positive pressure of dry nitrogen and unless otherwise specified preparative chromatography was performed on Merck Kieselgel 'G' Type 60 ( $0.04-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution; $J$ values are given in Hz . Compounds and mixtures characterised only by an accurate mass were homogeneous by TLC and pure by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. In the case of the carbamates, there was some evidence of temperature and field dependence arising from hindered rotation, and in some cases broadening of the spectra and loss of spin-coupling information or splitting of the resonances was a problem.

Reaction of Trimethylsilylmethylmagnesium Chloride with Diethyl 4-Oxo-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate 15.-A solution of the Grignard reagent was prepared by reaction of chloromethyl(trimethyl)silane ( $1.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) with magnesium ( 260 mg ) in diethyl ether ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). A portion ( $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) of this solution was added to a solution of the enone $15^{3}(382 \mathrm{mg})$ in diethyl ether $\left(7 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The resultant gelatinous mixture was heated under reflux for 3 h , cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirred with thionyl dichloride $\left(0.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and treated with saturated aq. ammonium chloride. The mixture was filtered and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The extracts were dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed on flash silica [(3:1) diethyl ether-light petroleum] to give diethyl 2-oxo-4-trimethylsilylmethyl-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2.]nonane-6,7dicarboxylate 16 as a pale yellow oil ( $442 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 370.1915. $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 370.1923$ ); $v_{\max }($ Nujol $)$ ) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1690-1740(\mathrm{CO}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.8-4.0(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, including two overlapping quartets, $J 7$, bridgeheads and $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ), 2.7-1.7 $\left(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}\right.$, bicyclononane $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and CH$), 1.3$ and $1.31(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{t}$, $\left.J 7, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 1.0$ and $1.01\left(2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 7, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Si}\right)$ and 0.1 $\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right) ; m / z 360\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 4 \%\right), 225(6), 83\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}^{+}, 18\right)$ and $73\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}^{+}, 100\right)$

Reaction of Pentylmagnesium Bromide with Diethyl 4-Oxo-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate 15.-A solution of the Grignard reagent was prepared by reaction of 1 bromopentane ( $0.75 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) with magnesium ( 340 mg ) in diethyl ether ( $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). A portion ( $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) of this solution was added to a solution of the enone $15^{3}(500 \mathrm{mg})$ in diethyl ether $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The mixture was hydrolysed with saturated aq. ammonium chloride, then filtered, the ether layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether $\left(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined extracts were dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed [(1:1) diethyl ether-light petroleum] to give diethyl 2-oxo-4-pentyl-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]nonane-6,7-dicarboxylate ( $497 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ ), m.p. $62-66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, 61.1 ; H, 8.5; $\mathrm{N}, 7.9 \% ; \mathrm{M}^{+}, 354.2153 . \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 61.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.5$; $\mathrm{N}, 7.9 \% ; \mathrm{M}, 354.2154 ; v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1690-1750(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 4.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 4.2(4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{q}, J 7$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 2.7(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 15$ and $9,3-\mathrm{H}), 2.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15$ and 7, 3-H) and 2.8-1.3 ( $22 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ); m/z 354 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 5 \%$ ), 254 (26), 209 (43), 181 (19), 111 (26), 105 (8), 83 (63) and $29\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}, 100\right)$. Chromatography also yielded diethyl 4-hydroxy-6,7-diazabicy-clo[3.2.2]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate as an oil ( $172 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 284.1368 . \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 284.1372$ ); $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3450(\mathrm{OH})$ and $1740-1700(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.3-$ $5.5(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, vinyl H), $5.0-4.4(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, bridgeheads and CHOH$)$, $4.2\left(4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J} 7, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 2.5-1.6\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and 1.3 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J} 7, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ); $m / z 284$ (M ${ }^{+}, 33 \%$ ), 212 (9), 211 (18), 158 (29), 130 (100), 83 (64) and 68 (34).

Reaction of Butyllithium with Diethyl 4-Oxo-6,7-diazabicyclo-[3.2.2]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate 15.-A solution of butyllithium ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3} ; 0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of the enone $15^{3}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl ether $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Water $\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added and the mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature. The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The combined extracts were dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed [(3:1) light petroleum-diethyl ether] to give diethyl 4-butyl-4-hydroxy-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate as an oil ( 8 mg , $4 \%$ (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}, 340.1992 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires M , $340.1998) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 11$ and $7,2-\mathrm{H}), 5.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 11$ and 1, 3-H), 4.6 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, bridgeheads), 4.2 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{q}, J 7$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$ and $2.0-0.8(19 \mathrm{H}) ; m / z 340\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 15 \%\right), 240\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}, 20$ ), 239 (13), 195 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}, 31$ ), $167(16), 83(45)$ and $29\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}, 100\right)$.

Chromatography also yielded an oil ( $147 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ), which was identified on the basis of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy as a $1: 1$ mixture of the 1,2 -adduct and the 1,4 -adduct diethyl 4-butyl-2-oxo-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]nonane-6,7-dicarboxylate. The characteristic peaks for this second product were $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.5(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 16$ and $8,3-\mathrm{H})$ and $2.4(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 16$ and $6,3-\mathrm{H})$.

Reaction of Allylmagnesium Bromide with Diethyl 4-Oxo-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate 15.-A solution of the Grignard reagent was prepared by reaction of allyl bromide $\left(0.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ with magnesium ( 170 mg ) in diethyl ether $\left(4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. A solution of the enone $15^{3}(500 \mathrm{mg})$ in diethyl ether ( $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added to the stirred Grignard reagent at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the solution was allowed to warm up to room temperature and was then treated with saturated aq. ammonium chloride. After separation of the layers the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether $\left(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined extracts were dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed [(1:1) diethyl ether-light petroleum] to give diethyl 4-allyl-4-hydroxy-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2.]non-2-ene-6,7-
dicarboxylate 32 as a solid ( $208 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ ), m.p. $71-74^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, 59.2; H, 7.4; N, 8.5\%; $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 324.1686 . \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 59.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.4 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.6 \% ; \mathrm{M}, 324.1685$ ); $v_{\text {max }}$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3500(\mathrm{OH}), 1740-1690(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ and 995 and 905 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.0\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2-\right.$ and $3-\mathrm{H}$, and $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.5(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 12$ and $2, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}), 5.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, bridgehead), $5.1(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 7$ and $2, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH} H), 4.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, bridgehead $), 4.0(4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{q}$, $\left.J 7, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 2.4\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 2.0-$ $1.5\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $1.3\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{t}, J 7, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right) ; m / z 324$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 34 \%$ ), 283 (37), 235 (11), 130 (100) and $91\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{+}, 32\right.$ ).

The tertiary alcohol ( 500 mg ) and methanolic potassium hydroxide [ $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of a solution of potassium hydroxide ( 7.2 g ) in methanol $\left(37.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and water $\left.\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)\right]$ were heated together under reflux for 8 h . After the mixture had cooled to room temperature solid sodium hydrogen carbonate ( 1 g ) was added, the mixture was stirred for 1 h and then filtered, yellow mercury(II) oxide ( 4 g ) was added, and the mixture was stirred for a further 3 h before being filtered through Celite; water (30 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) was added and the whole was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The extracts were dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed [(1:1) diethyl ether-light petroleum] to give 2-allyl-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]nona-3,6-dien-$2-\mathrm{ol}\left(170 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%\right.$ ) as an off-white solid, m.p. $81-84^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 67.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.7 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.8 \% ; \mathrm{M}^{+}, 178.1110 . \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires C , $67.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.9 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.8 \% ; \mathrm{M}, 178.1106) ; v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3360$ $(\mathrm{OH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.05\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 11$ and $8,4-\mathrm{H}), 5.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1-$ and $5-\mathrm{H}), 5.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 11$ and 2.5 , $3-\mathrm{H}), 5.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddt}, J 10,2$ and $1, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}), 5.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddt}, J 17$, 2 and $1, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH} H), 2.40(2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{dddt}, J 14,7$ and 1 , $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$ and $1.75-1.51(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); m/z $178\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0 \%\right), 160\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 47\right), 179(19)$, $132\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}_{2}, 15\right), 188(22), 117(22), 91\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}, 42\right)$ and 81 (100). An NOE difference experiment showed an interaction between the allylic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.40\right)$, and the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ 1.75-1.51) of the bicyclononane, confirming that the single isomer isolated had the allyl group anti to the $-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}-$ bridge. Unfortunately, attempts to dehydrate this compound produced only a mixture of low-molecular-mass products. Similarly, attempts to dehydrate the alcohol 32 and then hydrolyse the carbamate groups failed to produce a simple product. ${ }^{5}$

Reaction of Hex-1-ynyllithium with Diethyl 4-Oxo-6,7-diaza-bicylo[3.2.2]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate 15.-A solution of butyllithium ( $2.25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} ; 1.6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ ) was added to hex-1-yne ( $2.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) in diethyl ether $\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right.$ ). A solution of the enone $15^{3}$ $(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in diethyl ether $\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. Saturated aq. ammonium chloride was added, the layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether $\left(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined organic extracts were dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed on flash silica [(1:1) diethyl ether-light petroleum].

The first fraction proved to be diethyl 4-(hex-1-ynyl)-4-hydr:xy-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate 19c (stereochemistry tentatively assigned by comparison of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra with the ethynyl series) as a yellow oil ( 496 mg , $39 \%$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 364.1996. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires M , 364.1998); $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3440(\mathrm{OH}), 2230(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1750-1680$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ and $1550(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15$ and $7,2-\mathrm{H}), 5.7$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 4.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 4.2(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{q}, J 7, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 2.0-0.8(13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $1.3(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{t}, J 7$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right) ; m / z 364\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 5 \%\right), 291$ (3), 158 (15), 130 (29), 91 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{+}, 11\right)$ and $29\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}, 100\right)$.

The second fraction was the diastereoisomer 18c (stereochemistry tentatively assigned by comparison of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra with the 2-ethynyl series) as a yellow oil ( $504 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ )
(Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 364.2004 . \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 364.1998$ ); $v_{\max }(\mathrm{film}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3440(\mathrm{OH}), 2230(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1750-1680(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ and $1550(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15$ and $7,4-\mathrm{H}), 5.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15$, $3-\mathrm{H}), 4.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 4.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 4.2(4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{q}, J 7$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$, $3.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 2.4-0.8(13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $1.3(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ); $m / z 364$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 5 \%$ ), 291 (2), 158 (16), 130 (29), 91 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{+}, 10\right)$ and $29\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}, 100\right)$.

Reaction of Ethynyllithium with Diethyl 4-Oxo-6,7-diazabicy-clo[3.2.2]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate 15.-A solution of ethynyllithium was prepared by adding butyllithium $\left(6.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 1.6\right.$ $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ ) to a saturated solution of acetylene in dry THF ( 75 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{7}$ A solution of the enone $15^{\mathbf{3}}(3 \mathrm{~g})$ in dry THF ( $25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was rapidly added and the mixture was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature during 4-5 h. Saturated aq. ammonium chloride was added, the solids were removed by filtration, and the aqueous phase was saturated with sodium chloride and extracted with diethyl ether ( $2 \times 70 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The combined organic extracts were dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed [(1:1) diethyl ether-light petroleum and then pure diethyl ether].
The first fraction was diethyl 4-ethynyl-4-hydroxy-6,7-diaza-bicyclo[3.2.2]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate 19a ( $1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \%$ ) as a crystalline solid, m.p. $153-154{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, 58.5; H, 6.8; N, $9.2 \% ; \mathrm{M}^{+}, 308.1367$. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 58.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.5 ; \mathrm{N}$, $9.1 \%$; $\mathrm{M}, 308.1372$ ); $v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3440(\mathrm{OH}), 3300(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$, $2110(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1740(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ and $1685(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13$ and $6.5,2-\mathrm{H}), 5.8(1 \mathrm{H}$, br d, $J 13,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 4.6$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1-\mathrm{H}$ ), $4.2\left(4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 3.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 2.6$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2.4-1.7\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $1.2(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ); $m / z 308\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 9 \%\right.$ ), 134 (11), 130 (34), 105 (14), 91 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{+} 13\right), 83(17)$ and $29\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}, 100\right)$.
A solution of this alcohol ( 250 mg ) in benzene $\left(4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ with a little 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine was mixed with a solution of acetic anhydride ( $0.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and triethylamine ( $1.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) in benzene ( $2.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). After the mixture had been kept for 18 h at room temperature, $2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ aq. hydrochloric acid ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with benzene ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The combined extracts were dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure to give the acetate 19 b as a crystalline solid ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, \mathbf{9 9 \%}$ ), m.p. $121-123{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [from (3:1) hexane-diethyl ether] (Found: C, 58.6; H, 6.5; N, 8.1\%; $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, $350.1464 . \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires C, $58.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.5 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.0 \% ; \mathrm{M}$, 350.1477); $v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3300(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2121(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1750$ (acetate CO) and 1720 (carbamate CO). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed the presence of a pair of rotamers. Some of the main peaks were 'doubled' but on warming to $\sim 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ these partially collapsed to give the expected spectrum; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.3$ and 6.2 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, 2$ dd, $J 11$ and $7,2-\mathrm{H}$ ), $5.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J 11,3-\mathrm{H}$ ), 5.5 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~s}$ or br d, $J 7,5-\mathrm{H}$ ), $4.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 4.2(4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{q}, J$ 7, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 2.7(1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}), 2.05$ and $2.00(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{Ac})$, 2.2-1.8 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) and $1.2(6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{t}, J 7$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ); the stereochemistry was assigned on the basis of an NOE between the acetate Me and carbamate $\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; m / z$ $350\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3 \%\right), 163(7), 91\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{+}, 10\right), 83(9)$ and $29\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}\right.$, 100).

The second fraction from the column was the diastereoisomer of 19 a , the alcohol 18 a as an oil ( $1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \%$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 308.1363. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires 308.1372); $v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $3440(\mathrm{OH}), 3300(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}), 2110(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1740-1710(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ and $1685(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13$ and $7,2-\mathrm{H}), 5.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 13$, $3-\mathrm{H}), 4.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 4.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 4.2(4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{q}, J 7$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 3.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 2.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2.4-1.7(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) and 1.3 ( $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J} 7, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right) ; m / z 308\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 6 \%\right)$, 134 (5), 130 (28), 105 (15), $91\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{+}, 13\right), 83$ (15) and 29 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}, 100\right)$.

Acetylation in the same manner as before gave the acetate 18b as an oil (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 350.1468 ; \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires M , 350.1477); $v_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3300(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2120(\mathrm{C} \equiv), 1750$ (CO of acetate) and 1700 (CO of carbamate); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2-$ and $3-\mathrm{H})$, $5.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 4.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 4.2(4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{q}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 2.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}), 2.1(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ac}), 2.0-1.8(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), and $1.3\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$; $m / z 350\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 10 \%\right), 163$ (15), $91\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{+}\right), 83(13)$ and $29\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{+}, 100\right)$.

Reduction of Diethyl 4-Oxo-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate 15 with Sodium Borohydride. ${ }^{18}$-Sodium borohydride ( 70 mg ) was added in portions to a solution of the enone $15^{3}(500 \mathrm{mg})$ in $0.4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ cerium(III) chloridemethanol ( $3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). After the mixture had been stirred for a further 30 min , water ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The extracts were dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure to give diethyl 4-hydroxy-6,7-diazabicyclo-[3.2.2.]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate as an oil ( $493 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ). The product obtained in this way needed no further purification and had spectroscopic properties identical with those reported above.

Silver Ion-catalysed Rearrangement of 4-Acetoxy-4-ethynyl-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate 19b. ${ }^{9}$-Potassium carbonate ( 10 mg ) and silver carbonate ( 3 mg ) were added to a solution of the acetate $19 \mathrm{~b}(74 \mathrm{mg})$ in $80 \%$ aq. acetic acid ( $7 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and the mixture was refluxed for 3 h and then cooled. Water ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added, the layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane $\left(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined extracts were washed with aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate ( $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed [(1:1) diethyl ether-hexane] to give a yellow gum identified as a mixture of the aldehydes 20a and 21a ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}, 308.1366$. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 308.1372$ ); $v_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1745\right.$ (aldehyde $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 1710 (carbamate $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) and $1670(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $10.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6, \mathrm{CHO}), 4.5-7.2(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, olefinics and bridgehead positions; the $3-\mathrm{H}$ of the $E$ isomer resolved at $\delta 7.2$, d, $J 10$ ), 4.2 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J} 7, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ), 2.5-1.4 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) and $1.2(6$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J} 7, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right) ; m / z 308\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 18 \%\right), 137(44), 134(15), 133$ (31), $109(70), 105(18), 91\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{+}, 25\right)$ and $29\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}, 100\right)$.

Meyer-Schuster Rearrangement ${ }^{8}$ of Diethyl 4-(Hex-1-ynyl)-4-hydroxy-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate
18c.-A few drops of conc. sulfuric acid were added to a solution of the propargylic alcohol $18 \mathrm{c}(55 \mathrm{mg})$ in $80 \%$ aq. acetic acid ( $3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The mixture was heated under reflux for 1 h , diluted with water ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), neutralised with $2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ aq. sodium carbonate, and extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The combined extracts were dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed [(1:1) diethyl ether-hexane] to give a yellow oil idəntified as a 3:2 mixture of the $E(\mathbf{2 1 b})$ and $Z(\mathbf{2 0 b})$ isomers of diethyl 4-(2-oxohexylidene)-6,7-diazabicylo[3.2.2]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) (Found: $M^{+}, 364.1988$. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 364.1990$ ); $v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1740-$ $1780(\mathrm{CO}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.5-4.5(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, olefinics and bridgeheads; the 3H of the $E$-isomer resolved at $\delta 7.5, \mathrm{~d}, J 13), 4.2(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 2.5\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7, \mathrm{COCH}_{2}\right), 2.4-1.3\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.2(6$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$ and $0.9\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7,\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right) ; m / z 364\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, $14 \%$ ), 191 (13), 190 (17), 189 (36), $133(11), 105(18), 91\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{+}\right.$, $19)$ and $29\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{+}, 100\right)$. This reaction was normally monitored by TLC and when carried out on a larger scale, with alcohols $18 \mathrm{c}, 19 \mathrm{c}$ or a mixture of 18 c and 19 c as the starting material, two 'intermediates' could be detected, and by stopping the reaction before it was complete these could be isolated by column
chromatography. The first of these was less polar than the products 20 b and 21 b and was tentatively assigned the structure 22b. It was obtained as an oil (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}, 406.2091$. $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 406.2103$ ); $v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2210$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1745$ (acetate $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) and 1710 (carbamate $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.7$ (2 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{and} 4-\mathrm{H}), 4.5$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, bridgeheads), 4.2 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{q}, J 7$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 2.3\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 2.1(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ac}), 2.0-1.3(8$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.2\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{t}, J 7, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$ and $0.9(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7$, $\left.\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right) ; m / z 406\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 13 \%\right), 189$ (37), 188 (63), 105 (16), $91\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{+}\right), 83(45)$ and $29\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}, 100\right)$. The second 'intermediate' was more polar than the products 20 b and 21 b and was identified as diethyl 2-(hex-1-ynyl)-4-hydroxy-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate 22a. It was obtained as an oil (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 364.2004 . \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 364.1998$ ); $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{EtOH}) / \mathrm{nm} 231$ (enyne); $v_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $3460(\mathrm{OH}), 2220(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C})$ and $1740-1720(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J 5.5,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7$ and $5.5,4-\mathrm{H}), 4.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 4.2$ $\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$ and $\left.1-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.7\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 2.2-1.3$ $\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.3\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J} 7, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$ and $0.9(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right) ; m / z 364\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3 \%\right), 190$ (56), 189 (59), 188 (42), 158 (67), $130(97), 91\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{+}, 66\right), 77(35)$ and $29\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}\right.$, 100).

Peterson Methylenation of Diethyl 4-(2-Oxohexylidene)-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2.]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate $\quad(20 \mathrm{~b}+21 \mathrm{~b}$, $\mathrm{Z}+\mathrm{E}$ Isomers). ${ }^{11}$-The reagent was generated by addition of chloromethyl(trimethyl)silane ( $1.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) to magnesium ( 191 mg ) in diethyl ether $\left(6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ during 20 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was allowed to reach room temperature, a mixture of the enones $\mathbf{2 0 b}$ and $21 \mathrm{~b}(2.49 \mathrm{~g})$ in diethyl ether ( $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added, and the reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 3 h . The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and thionyl dichloride $\left(0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added. After 1 h at room temperature the mixture was treated with saturated aq. ammonium chloride $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and after a further 3 h the mixture was filtered and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether $\left(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined extracts were dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed on flash silica [(1:1) diethyl ether-light petroleum] to give an $E / Z$ mixture of diethyl 4-(2-butylallylidene)-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]non-2-ene6,7 -dicarboxylate ( 20 c and 21c) as a yellow gum ( $1.69 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 362.2206 . \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 362.2205$ ); $v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1740-1690(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.8-5.7(3 \mathrm{H}$, m, olefinics $)$, $5.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}), 4.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH} H), 5.2-4.4(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1-$ and $5-\mathrm{H}), 4.2\left(4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J} 7, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 2.4-1.0\left(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.2(6$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J} 7, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$ and $0.9\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 362\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, $22 \%$ ), 289 (8), 188 (22), 186 (18), 131 (29), $91\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{+}, 35\right)$ and $29\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}, 100\right)$. This mixture of trienes, like the dienones from which it was derived, could not be separated by chromatography and the separation of $E$ and $Z$ isomers was left until after the next stage of the preparation.

Hydrolysis and Oxidation of Diethyl 4-(2'-Butylallylidene)-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]non-2-ene-6,7-dicarboxylate (20c and 21c).-The mixture of trienes obtained in the previous experiment $(20 c+21 \mathrm{c})(1.01 \mathrm{~g})$ was heated under reflux in methanolic potassium hydroxide [ $40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of a solution made from potassium hydroxide ( 7.2 g ), methanol ( $37.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), and water $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ ] for 8 h . After the mixture had cooled, solid sodium hydrogen carbonate ( 3 g ) was added, the mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature and then filtered, yellow mercury(iI) oxide ( 8 g ) was added, and the mixture was stirred for a further 6 h . Solids were removed by filtration through Celite, the filtrate, concentrated under reduced pressure at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to remove most of the methanol, was treated with water ( 70 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ), and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The combined organic extracts were dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated under reduced
pressure at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give a dark oil $(350-400 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 60 \%)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed that this crude product contained little other than the desired diazenes 23 and 24. Since these were unstable the crude product was stored at low temperature and portions were subjected to rapid (flash) chromatography [(3:1) light petroleum-diethyl ether] as required. In a typical experiment a portion of the oil ( 35 mg ) yielded (E)-4-(2'-butylallylidene)-6,7-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]nona-2,6-diene $24(10.4 \mathrm{mg})$ and the Z-isomer $23(16 \mathrm{mg})$, both as oils which were initially colourless but which became quite quickly brown.

For the Z-isomer 23 (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{N}_{2}$, 188.1554. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ requires $m / z, 188.1564 ; \lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{EtOH}) / \mathrm{nm} 407(\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{N}$ and 282 (triene); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 5.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11,3-\mathrm{H}), 5.90$ ( $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 1^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.75(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 11$ and $8,2-\mathrm{H}), 5.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ 8 and $5,1-\mathrm{H}), 5.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHH}), 5.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH} H)$, $2.16\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J} 7, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\right), 2.1-1.3\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $0.9(3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7, \mathrm{Me}$ ); $m / z 210\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0 \%\right.$ ), $188\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{N}_{2}, 42\right), 160$ (7), 159 (12), 145 (77), 131 (83), 117 (66), $91\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{+}, 100\right)$ and 84 (41).

For the E-isomer 24 (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}-\mathbf{N}_{2}, 188.1559$ ); $\lambda_{\max }$ $(\mathrm{EtOH}) / \mathrm{nm} 407(\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{N})$ and 283 (triene); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11$, $3-\mathrm{H}), 5.98\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 1^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.78(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 11,8$ and $1.5,2-\mathrm{H})$, $5.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1-\mathrm{and} 5-\mathrm{H}), 5.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHH}), 4.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHH}), 2.07\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\right), 2.0-1.2\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $0.9(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7, \mathrm{Me}) ; m / z 210\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0 \%\right), 188\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{N}_{2}, 19\right)$, 173 (2), $160(2), 145(31), 117(20), 91\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{+}, 66\right), 84(89)$ and 41 (100). The stereochemistries of these two products were assigned on the basis of COSY and NOE experiments described in detail in the preliminary communication ${ }^{1}$ and the assignment was confirmed on the basis of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts as discussed in the main text.

ESR Experiments.-A sample of the diazene ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in [(5:5:2) diethyl ether-2-methylbutane-ethanol] (EPA) or (1:1) 2-methyltetrahydrofuran-propan-2-ol in a 5 mm silica ESR tube was degassed through a series of freeze-pump-thaw cycles and sealed under vacuum ( $\sim 10^{-3} \mathrm{mmHg}$ ). The tube, set in a silica 'finger dewar' containing liquid nitrogen, was irradiated using an Hanovia 504/4 medium-pressure mercury vapour lamp for $c a .6 \mathrm{~min}$ and the resultant ESR spectrum was recorded on a Varian X-band ESR spectrometer at 77 K .
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[^0]:    * Examples in which diastereoisomers of a pi biradical give different, distinctive triplet ESR spectra have recently been reported. ${ }^{4}$

